

<u>Home</u> <u>Dissertation Topics</u> <u>Order Now</u>

Factors Or Reasons Influencing The Choice Of Psychiatry As A Medical Speciality Among Postgraduate Psychiatry Trainees

(Data Analysis Coursework)

[Name of the Writer]

[Name of Institution]

[Date of Submission]

[Submitted to]

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Reliability Testing	3
Demographic Characteristics	4
Descriptive Statistics	7
Evaluation of Financial Factors	11
Evaluation of Family or Personal Factors	16
Evaluation of Psychiatry Factors	19
Evaluation of Rewarding Factors	24
Evaluation of Societal Factors	27
References	30

Introduction

The following research has aimed to evaluate the influential factors that affect the decision of opting for psychiatry as a medical speciality. The research underpinned the case of post-graduate trainees residing in Scotland and to serve the purpose, the questionnaire was sent to 278 participants, however, only 83 responded to the assertion. Hence, the response rate has been calculated to be 30%.

Considering this aspect, the following section is dedicated to a meticulous analysis of the factors. The analysis includes an assessment of the reliability of the variables using Cronbach Alpha. It also includes descriptive statistics for the comprehension of the general characteristics along with demographic variables. In furtherance, to evaluate the most influential factors in each category including financial, family, psychiatry as an interesting subject, rewarding aspects of working in psychiatry, societal factors and personality factors, chi-square testing has been conducted which helped to evaluate the difference based on different categorical variables.

Reliability Testing

Since the questions related to all the factors were based on the Likert scale, therefore, Cronbach Alpha has been used to determine the reliability of the 5-point Likert scale. The result of all the factors except for personality factors has been mentioned as follows:

Table 1: Reliability Analysis- 1

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.765	38

Concerning the results in Table 1, it has been found that the Cronbach Alpha statistics is computed to be 0.765. The study carried out by Leech, Barrett and Morgan (2016) asserted that the minimum acceptable value is 0.7. Therefore, the results in Table 1 are acceptable as they are above the threshold. Considering the personality factors, the Cronbach Alpha is computed to be



0.907 which is presented in Table 2. It depicts the extreme reliability of the factors based on the 5-point Likert scale.

Table 2: Reliability Testing-2

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.907	11

Demographic Characteristics

In this section, the general characteristics have been analysed, for instance, gender, age, place of medical qualification and others. In terms of Table 3, most of the respondents fall in the age group ranging from 20 to 34 years with 53% concentration. Concerning gender, the results of Table 4 illustrate that 62.2% of females participated in this study.

Moreover, Table 5 is illustrating that 91.6% of the participants acquired their primary medical qualification from the UK while 3.6% acquired it from Asia. In addition, Table 7 illustrates that 62.7% of the respondents hold MRCP while 37.3% did not. Moreover, according to Table 8, 74.7% of the respondents work full time. Table 9 depicts that 55.4% are at the core psychiatry training stage. According to Table 10, 71.7% had psychiatry training in the first foundation year. However, in terms of the decision-making stage, 43.4% decided in the foundation stage to opt for psychiatry as a medical speciality and the results are depicted in Table 11.

Table 3: Age of the Respondents

1. What age category (in years) do you belong to?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
50-54	2	2.4	2.4	2.4
40-44	3	3.6	3.6	6.0
35-39	9	10.8	10.8	16.9
30-34	44	53.0	53.0	69.9
25-29	25	30.1	30.1	100.0
Total	83	100.0	100.0	

Table 4: Gender of the Respondents

2. What is your gender?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Male	31	37.3	37.8	37.8
Female	51	61.4	62.2	100.0
Total	82	98.8	100.0	

Table 5: Place of Primary Medical Education

4. Place of primary medical qualification?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
UK	76	91.6	91.6	91.6
South Asia	1	1.2	1.2	92.8
EU	1	1.2	1.2	94.0
Asia	3	3.6	3.6	97.6
Africa	2	2.4	2.4	100.0



Table 6: Membership of MRCP

7. Do you hold Membership of the Royal College of Psychiatrists UK, i.e. MRCPsych?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	52	62.7	62.7	62.7
	No	31	37.3	37.3	100.0

Table 7: Work Type

8. You work

equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
21	25.3	25.3	25.3
62	74.7	74.7	100.0
	21	21 25.3	21 25.3 25.3

Table 8: Training Stage

9. Your training stage/ grade

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Higher Psychiatry Training	37	44.6	44.6	44.6
Core Psychiatry Training	46	55.4	55.4	100.0

Table 9: Psychiatry Placement

11. Did you have psychiatry placement in your foundation training/ internship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	64	77.1	78.0	78.0
	No	18	21.7	22.0	100.0
	Total	82	98.8	100.0	



Table 10: Decision Stage

12. At what stage did you make the decision to choose psychiatry as your future training/ career speciality?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Work/ training post- foundation training/ internship	21	25.3	25.3	25.3
	Pre-medical school student	6	7.2	7.2	32.5
	During or after psychiatry placement as medical student	11	13.3	13.3	45.8
	During Foundation training	36	43.4	43.4	89.2
	Before completing psychiatry placement as medical student	9	10.8	10.8	100.0

Descriptive Statistics

In descriptive statistics, mean and standard deviation has been computed with respect to each factor. In terms of financial or personal factors, the results have been depicted in Table 11. Here, the data is coded where 1 represents very important; 2: quite important; 3: Neutral; 4: quite unimportant and 5 represents completely unimportant whereas, Don't know has been recorded as 6. Most of the responses are inclined towards quite important to quite unimportant because the values are near 3 and approaching 4 while the standard deviation is minimal. This shows responses are heading from neutral to quite unimportant responses. However, some of the responses are found to be inclined towards importance, for instance, 13.2 in Table 11.

Table 11: Financial Factors

		Std.
Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Deviation
13.1. Expenses or costs associated with psychiatry training (being reasonably		
manageable)	3.59	1.159
13.2. Psychiatry offers satisfactory opportunities regarding research,		
teaching and medical education	2.17	0.908



13.3. After the completion of training securing a post is easier due to low		
competition in psychiatry than in other medical specialities	2.96	1.46
13.4. Psychiatry offers a smooth and less complex career progression	2.92	1.425
13.5. Recruitment to a training post in Psychiatry (being less challenging		
than in other medical or surgical specialities)	3.27	1.499
13.6. Psychiatry offers structured training with satisfactory (clinical and		
educational) supervision and support	1.66	0.757
13.7. Psychiatry offers training of comparatively shorter duration than		
certain other medical specialities before obtaining CCT	3.9	1.47
13.8. Psychiatry offers a variety of speciality and sub-speciality training/		
work opportunities including dual CCT	2.14	0.939
13.9. Progression within psychiatry training is easily manageable (e.g.		
passing examinations and ARCP, absence of an exit examination at the end		
of higher training)	3.29	1.486
13.10. Positive and supportive supervisors, mentors or role models in		
previous posts or rotations (e.g. as FY2) changed your mind towards		
choosing psychiatry	1.9	1.358

Concerning the results obtained in Table 12, it has been found that mean values near 4 and 5 are indicating unimportant responses, the values near 3 are indication neutral in the case of family or personal factors. The values near 2 are indicating the importance of that specific factor, for instance, 14.1.

Table 12: Family/Personal Factors

		Std.
Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Deviation
14.1. Psychiatry training posts being available near family or preferred		
geographical area/ location	2.11	1.19
14.2. Peer pressure, influence or advice	4.2	1.575
14.3. Parent/ family pressure, influence or advice	4.64	1.574
14.4. Parents/ family members in the same medical field (in Psychiatry/ mental		
health)	5.3	1.295



14.5. Opportunities/ availability of Flexible working hours in psychiatry	2.46	1.355
14.6. A medical disorder or disability meant that you could manage to work in		
psychiatry better than when you had to train/ work in other medical specialities	5.28	1.408
14.7. A mental disorder affecting yourself, your family or people in your close		
circle led you to develop an interest in Psychiatry	4.16	1.89

Table 13 illustrates that most of the responses are near 2 which is indicating that they are quite important. Even in the case of factors associated with psychiatry as a subject, the standard deviation is minimal. Hence, psychiatry based factors are more important to the participants than financial for family-based factors.

Table 13: Factors associated with Psychiatry Subject

		Std.
Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Deviation
15.1. The opportunity of a long-term therapeutic relationship with patients in		
psychiatric practice	1.58	0.767
15.2. Personal and innate interest in psychiatry and psychiatric disorders	1.55	1.14
15.3. Appraisal of own aptitude and skills (being more suitable for psychiatry)	1.57	0.719
15.4. An overlap between psychiatry, neuroscience and neurological medicine		
(makes psychiatry favourable speciality)	2.23	1.119
15.5. A desire to contribute to psychiatry (e.g. via therapeutic interventions or		
involvement in research) as mental health has not been given due attention	2.01	1.132
15.6. A (unique) person-centred approach focussing on the patient as a person		
in psychiatry	1.41	0.733
15.7. You developed an interest in psychiatry after having a good experience		
of assessment techniques and therapeutic models used in this speciality (in		
your previous training/ work, e.g. medical school placement, and placement		
or foundation year rotation in psychiatry)	2.27	1.49
15.8. Psychiatric practice utilises bio-psycho-social model focusing on a		
holistic approach to determine the biological, psychological and social factors		
in disease causation and care planning	1.55	0.703

Table 14 illustrates that most of the responses are near 2 which is indicating that they are quite important. Even in the case of factors associated with rewarding, the standard deviation is



computed to be lower. This indicates that the respondents consider rewarding factors to be important.

Table 14: Factors associated with Rewarding

		Std.
Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Deviation
16.1. Assessment and care provision involves multidisciplinary teamwork in		
psychiatry	1.59	0.733
16.2. Psychiatry offers a sense of satisfaction and achievement when people		
with chronic mental health conditions report improvement or recovery	2.57	1.483
16.3. The utilisation of evidence-based approaches regarding treatment and		
care in psychiatry	2.16	0.848
16.4. Absence of regular onsite on-call work as higher trainee or consultant in		
psychiatry	2.29	1.293
16.5. On-call work as a psychiatry trainee or psychiatry consultant is easier to		
handle than in other medical or surgical specialities	2.73	1.539
16.6. Psychiatry is a financially rewarding speciality	3.77	1.533
16.7. Day to day work in psychiatry is considerably less demanding (e.g.		
workload pressure) than in certain other medical or surgical specialities	3.19	1.557
16.8. Good work-life balance in psychiatry	2.52	1.517
16.9. Less emergency work and an absence of complex procedural work in		
psychiatry	2.58	1.407

The social factors are found to be seemingly less important than other factors on the basis of mean values as depicted in Table 15. Besides, in terms of interest, it has been found that the respondents consider it has important because the value is near 2 which indicates 'quite important'.

Table 15: Social Factors

		Std.
Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Deviation
17.1. Psychiatry is a medical speciality of high public repute	2.51	1.485
17.2. Opportunities to work abroad after the completion of psychiatry training	3.72	1.564
17.3. Influence of media, such as a film, TV programme, or a book (on your		
decision to choose psychiatry)	4.43	1.571



In terms of Table 16, most of the respondents were inclined to state that personality factors are important as most of the respondents agreed to the asked notion. It has been inferred because the mean value is near 2. Here, 1 indicates strongly agree, 2: agree, 3: neutral, 4: disagree and 5 indicates strongly disagree. In this case, the deviation is minimal as found in other cases as well.

Table 16: Personality Factors

Descriptive Statistics	Mean	Std. Deviation
18.1. I carry out a thorough analysis while investigating and		
interpreting patients' physical or mental health problems/ symptoms	1.65	0.633
18.2. I like utilising my intellectual skills to solve complex problems	1.67	0.704
18.3. I consider myself as friendly	1.48	0.549
18.4. I have good communication skills	1.51	0.527
18.5. I have good interpersonal and social skills	1.55	0.61
18.6. I feel sympathy for and express empathy towards my patients		
while diagnosing and managing their health problems	1.55	0.569
18.7. I understand patient's feelings and emotions in the context of		
their difficulties and health problems	1.61	0.514
18.8. I listen to and pay attention to my patients' viewpoints	1.48	0.549
18.9. Colleagues and patients describe me as cooperative person	1.51	0.592
18.10. I have reasonable imaginative and creative skills to interpret		
patients and their unique ideas (e.g. their belief system) in the		
context of their personality and health problems	1.72	0.704
18.11. I spend time on reflecting on events in my personal and		
professional life	1.6	0.78

Evaluation of Financial Factors

To evaluate the differences in the notion concerning financial factors based on gender, membership of MRCPsych, psychiatry rotation and training stage, chi-square testing has been conducted. The significance level is considered to be 5% (0.05) and this implies that the p-value<



0.05 will be deemed as statistically significant. According to Table 17, the notion of male and female respondents significantly differs regarding the psychiatry offering a variety of speciality training and dual CCT (p-value= 0.019 < 0.05). In this context, females consider it as more important than males. Besides, managing the progress with psychiatry training is easy according to most females and this perception differs significantly from males (p-value= 0.011 < 0.05).

Table 17: Evaluation based on Gender

	Important (%)			
			Chi-	
	Male	Female	Square	p-value
Expenses or costs associated with psychiatry training				
(being reasonably manageable)	22.60%	15.70%	7.036	0.134
Psychiatry offers satisfactory opportunities regarding				
research, teaching and medical education	61.30%	80.30%	5.596	0.231
After the completion of training securing a post is easier				
due to low competition in psychiatry than in other medical				
specialities	51.60%	45.10%	2.646	0.754
Psychiatry offers a smooth and less complex career				
progression	45.20%	49.10%	5.18	0.394
Recruitment to a training post in Psychiatry (being less				
challenging than in other medical or surgical specialities)	45.20%	29.40%	2.748	0.739
Psychiatry offers structured training with satisfactory				
(clinical and educational) supervision and support	93.50%	94.00%	3.97	0.41
Psychiatry offers training of comparatively shorter duration				
than certain other medical specialities before obtaining				
CCT	12.90%	15.70%	13.213	0.021
Psychiatry offers a variety of speciality and sub-				
speciality training/ work opportunities including dual				
CCT	58.10%	80.40%	11.748	0.019
Progression within psychiatry training is easily				
manageable (e.g. passing examinations and ARCP,				
absence of an exit examination at the end of higher				
training)	29.00%	39.20%	14.95	0.011



Positive and supportive supervisors, mentors or role models in previous posts or rotations (eg as FY) changed your mind towards choosing psychiatry 77.40%

77.40% 86.30% 8.934 0.112

In terms of having membership of MRCPsych, the respondents who did not possess membership believed that expenses with psychiatry training are manageable as compared to those who possess membership (p-value= 0.012< 0.05). The results have been depicted in Table 18. In addition, concerning psychiatry offering smooth career progression, both MRCPsych holders and non-holders have the same notion pertaining to its importance, however, the difference is found in the category who do not deem it as important or the ones who have no idea (p-value= 0.032< 0.05).

Table 18: Evaluation based on MRCPsych

Important (%)			_
		Chi-	
Yes	No	Square	p-value
7.70%	38.70%	12.931	0.012
73.10%	74.20%	2.137	0.711
48.10%	48.40%	3.561	0.614
48.10%	48.40%	12.219	0.032
32.60%	38.70%	6.5	0.261
94.10%	93.50%	3.817	0.431
11.50%	19.40%	7.78	0.169
	Yes 7.70% 73.10% 48.10% 48.10% 32.60% 94.10%	Yes No 7.70% 38.70% 73.10% 74.20% 48.10% 48.40% 32.60% 38.70% 94.10% 93.50%	Yes No Chi-Square 7.70% 38.70% 12.931 73.10% 74.20% 2.137 48.10% 48.40% 3.561 48.10% 48.40% 12.219 32.60% 38.70% 6.5 94.10% 93.50% 3.817



Psychiatry offers a variety of speciality and sub-				
speciality training/ work opportunities including dual				
CCT	78.80%	61.30%	3.298	0.509
Progression within psychiatry training is easily				
manageable (eg passing examinations and ARCP,				
absence of an exit examination at the end of higher				
training)	36.50%	35.50%	4.057	0.541
Positive and supportive supervisors, mentors or role				
models in previous posts or rotations (e.g. as FY)				
changed your mind towards choosing psychiatry	86.50%	77.40%	7.517	0.185

Concerning psychiatry rotation, the respondents who did not have psychiatry placement in foundation year believed that expenses with psychiatry training are manageable as compared to those who did not have a placement (p-value= 0.013 < 0.05). The respondents who answered in negation concerning the placement in foundation year also deem psychiatry training as manageable and important than those who had placement (p-value= 0.024 < 0.05). The results are presented in Table 19.

Table 19: Evaluation based on Psychiatry Rotation

	Impo	rtant (%)		
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
Expenses or costs associated with psychiatry				
training (being reasonably manageable)	12.50%	44.40%	12.703	0.013
Psychiatry offers satisfactory opportunities regarding				
research, teaching and medical education	70.40%	83.40%	1.819	0.769
After the completion of training securing a post is				
easier due to low competition in psychiatry than in				
other medical specialities	42.20%	66.60%	8.456	0.133
Psychiatry offers a smooth and less complex career				
progression	45.30%	55.60%	1.439	0.920
Recruitment to a training post in Psychiatry (being				
less challenging than in other medical or surgical				
specialities)	28.20%	55.60%	6.925	0.226



D1:				
Psychiatry offers structured training with satisfactory				
(clinical and educational) supervision and support	92.10%	100.00%	3.047	0.55
Psychiatry offers training of comparatively shorter				
duration than certain other medical specialities before				
obtaining CCT	9.40%	33.40%	10.684	0.058
Psychiatry offers a variety of speciality and sub-				
speciality training/ work opportunities including dual				
CCT	71.90%	72.20%	2.151	0.708
Progression within psychiatry training is easily				
manageable (e.g. passing examinations and				
ARCP, absence of an exit examination at the end				
of higher training)	32.80%	44.40%	12.926	0.024
Positive and supportive supervisors, mentors or role				
models in previous posts or rotations (e.g., as FY)				
changed your mind towards choosing psychiatry	87.50%	66.60%	7.226	0.204

In the context of the psychiatry training stage, the respondents who had core psychiatry training believed that expenses with psychiatry training are manageable as compared to those who had higher psychiatry training (p-value= 0.036 < 0.05). The results are depicted in Table 20.

Table 20: Evaluation based on Training Stage/ Grade

	Importan	t (%)		
	Higher	Core	_	
	Psychiatry	Psychiatry	Chi-	p-
	Training	Training	Square	value
Expenses or costs associated with psychiatry				
training (being reasonably manageable)	10.80%	26.10%	10.255	0.036
Psychiatry offers satisfactory opportunities				
regarding research, teaching and medical				
education	73.00%	73.90%	3.829	0.430



After the completion of training securing a post is				
easier due to low competition in psychiatry than				
in other medical specialities	51.30%	45.60%	8.635	0.125
Psychiatry offers a smooth and less complex				
career progression	40.50%	54.30%	8.085	0.152
Recruitment to a training post in Psychiatry				
(being less challenging than in other medical or				
surgical specialities)	32.40%	36.90%	9.362	0.095
Psychiatry offers structured training with				
satisfactory (clinical and educational) supervision				
and support	94.40%	93.50%	4.929	0.295
Psychiatry offers training of comparatively				
shorter duration than certain other medical				
specialities before obtaining CCT	10.80%	17.40%	9.637	0.086
Psychiatry offers a variety of speciality and sub-				
speciality training/ work opportunities including				
dual CCT	78.40%	67.40%	4.072	0.396
Progression within psychiatry training is easily				
manageable (eg passing examinations and ARCP,				
absence of an exit examination at the end of				
higher training)	37.80%	34.80%	2.675	0.750
Positive and supportive supervisors, mentors or				
role models in previous posts or rotations (eg as				
FY) changed your mind towards choosing				
psychiatry	86.50%	80.50%	4.953	0.422

Evaluation of Family or Personal Factors

In this section, the family or personal factors have been evaluated based on gender, membership of MRCPsych, psychiatry rotation and training stage. The results in Table 21 indicate differences based on gender. It has been found that females having close friends with mental disorder generated their interest in psychiatry than male respondents and they deemed as a more important factor (p-value= 0.044 < 0.05).



Table 21: Evaluation based on Gender

	Importa	int (%)		
			Chi-	
	Male	Female	Square	p-value
Psychiatry training posts being available near family or				
preferred geographical area/ location	74.20%	80.40%	6.340	0.175
Peer pressure, influence or advice	9.70%	23.50%	6.061	0.300
Parent/ family pressure, influence or advice	3.20%	17.60%	4.490	0.481
Parents/ family members in the same medical field (in				
Psychiatry/ mental health)	3.20%	5.90%	3.745	0.587
Opportunities/ availability of Flexible working hours in				
psychiatry	51.60%	66.70%	9.437	0.093
A medical disorder or disability meant that you could				
manage to work in psychiatry better than when you had				
to train/ work in other medical specialities	6.50%	7.80%	10.195	0.070
A mental disorder affecting yourself, your family or				
people in your close circle led you to develop an				
interest in Psychiatry	25.80%	31.40%	11.395	0.044

In the context of having membership of MRCPsych, the respondents who did not possess membership are found to have a similar opinion regarding the importance of family-based factors as compared to those who possessed membership. The results are presented in Table 22.

Table 22: Evaluation based on MRCPsych

	Importa	ınt (%)		
			Chi-	
	Yes	No	Square	p-value
Psychiatry training posts being available near				
family or preferred geographical area/ location	78.80%	74.20%	0.386	0.984
Peer pressure, influence or advice	21.10%	12.90%	2.288	0.808
Parent/ family pressure, influence or advice	9.60%	16.10%	1.043	0.959
Parents/ family members in the same medical				
field (in Psychiatry/ mental health)	7.70%	0.00%	4.580	0.469



Opportunities/ availability of Flexible working				
hours in psychiatry	61.50%	61.30%	1.333	0.931
A medical disorder or disability meant that you				
could manage to work in psychiatry better than				
when you had to train/ work in other medical				
specialities	5.70%	9.70%	4.544	0.474
A mental disorder affecting yourself, your family				
or people in your close circle led you to develop				
an interest in Psychiatry	27.00%	32.30%	7.259	0.202

Concerning psychiatry rotation, the respondents who did not have psychiatry placement in foundation year believed parents and family influenced their decision than those who had a placement in their foundation year (p-value= 0.028 < 0.05). The case with parents/ family member associated with medical field is similar (p-value= 0.046 < 0.05). Moreover, those who did not have placement consider that they could manage psychiatry better than any other medical field (p-value= 0.018 < 0.05). The results have been depicted in Table 23.

Table 23: Evaluation based on Psychiatry Rotation

	Important (%)			
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
Psychiatry training posts being available near family				
or preferred geographical area/ location	73.40%	88.90%	6.381	0.172
Peer pressure, influence or advice	15.70%	27.80%	3.331	0.649
Parent/ family pressure, influence or advice	6.30%	33.40%	12.510	0.028
Parents/ family members in the same medical				
field (in Psychiatry/ mental health)	1.60%	16.70%	11.277	0.046
Opportunities/ availability of Flexible working hours				
in psychiatry	59.40%	66.60%	6.324	0.276
A medical disorder or disability meant that you				
could manage to work in psychiatry better than				
when you had to train/ work in other medical				
specialities	4.70%	16.70%	13.648	0.018



A mental disorder affecting yourself, your family or				
people in your close circle led you to develop an				
interest in Psychiatry	28.20%	33.30%	3.858	0.570

In the context of family factors, the notion of respondents having high psychiatry training is found to be similar to those who had core psychiatry training. The results have been depicted in Table 24.

Table 24: Evaluation based on Training Stage/ Grade

	Importa	nt (%)		
	Higher	Core	=	
	Psychiatry	Psychiatry	Chi-	
	Training	Training	Square	p-value
Psychiatry training posts being available near				
family or preferred geographical area/location	75.60%	78.30%	2.683	0.612
Peer pressure, influence or advice	21.60%	15.20%	4.943	0.423
Parent/ family pressure, influence or advice	10.80%	13.10%	3.330	0.649
Parents/ family members in the same medical field				
(in Psychiatry/ mental health)	8.10%	2.20%	5.730	0.333
Opportunities/ availability of Flexible working				
hours in psychiatry	56.70%	65.20%	2.696	0.747
A medical disorder or disability meant that you				
could manage to work in psychiatry better than				
when you had to train/ work in other medical				
specialities	8.10%	6.50%	2.622	0.758
A mental disorder affecting yourself, your family or				
people in your close circle led you to develop an				
interest in Psychiatry	21.60%	34.80%	6.484	0.262

Evaluation of Psychiatry Factors

Concerning psychiatry based factors, the stance of male respondents is found to be similar to those females possessed (Table 25). In addition, the notion of MRCPsych members did not



differ in the context of the significance of psychiatry factors from the non-members (Table 26). The results concerning psychiatry rotation and training stage are also similar (Table 27 and Table 28). This inference has been drawn because none of the p-values is found to be below 5% (0.05).

Table 25: Evaluation based on Gender

	Important (%)			
			Chi-	p-
	Male	Female	Square	value
The opportunity of a long-term therapeutic relationship with				
patients in psychiatric practice	87.10%	94.10%	3.556	0.469
Personal and innate interest in psychiatry and psychiatric				
disorders	80.60%	84.40%	1.178	0.758
Appraisal of own aptitude and skills (being more suitable for				
psychiatry)	87.10%	90.20%	1.135	0.769
An overlap between psychiatry, neuroscience and				
neurological medicine (makes psychiatry favourable				
speciality)	74.20%	56.90%	3.004	0.557
A desire to contribute to psychiatry (e.g. via therapeutic				
interventions or involvement in research) as mental health				
has not been given due attention	74.20%	76.40%	3.523	0.620
A (unique) person-centred approach focusing on the patient				
as a person in psychiatry	96.80%	92.10%	8.180	0.085
You developed an interest in psychiatry after having a good				
experience of assessment techniques and therapeutic models				
used in this speciality (in your previous training/ work, e.g.				
medical school placement, A&E placement or foundation				
year rotation in psychiatry)	67.80%	62.70%	10.005	0.075
The psychiatric practice utilises bio-psycho-social model				
focusing on a holistic approach to determine the biological,				
psychological and social factors in disease causation and care				
planning	90.40%	90.20%	3.518	0.318

Table 26: Evaluation based on MRCPsych

	Import	ant (%)		
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
The opportunity of a long-term therapeutic				
relationship with patients in psychiatric practice	96.10%	83.90%	5.224	0.265
Personal and innate interest in psychiatry and				
psychiatric disorders	84.60%	77.40%	2.172	0.537
Appraisal of own aptitude and skills (being more				
suitable for psychiatry)	90.30%	87.10%	2.064	0.559
An overlap between psychiatry, neuroscience				
and neurological medicine (makes psychiatry				
favourable speciality)	65.40%	61.30%	0.317	0.989
A desire to contribute to psychiatry (e.g. via				
therapeutic interventions or involvement in				
research) as mental health has not been given due				
attention	75.00%	77.40%	5.425	0.366
A (unique) person-centred approach focussing				
on the patient as a person in psychiatry	94.30%	93.60%	6.483	0.166
You developed an interest in psychiatry after				
having a good experience of assessment				
techniques and therapeutic models used in this				
speciality (in your previous training/ work, e.g.				
medical school placement, A&E placement or				
foundation year rotation in psychiatry)	67.30%	61.30%	5.573	0.350
The psychiatric practice utilises bio-psycho-				
social model focusing on a holistic approach to				
determine the biological, psychological and				
social factors in disease causation and care				
planning	94.20%	83.90%	3.295	0.348



Table 27: Evaluation based on Psychiatry Rotation

	Impor	tant (%)		
			Chi-	
	Yes	No	Square	p-value
The opportunity of a long-term therapeutic relationship				
with patients in psychiatric practice	92.20%	88.90%	1.578	0.813
Personal and innate interest in psychiatry and psychiatric				
disorders	84.40%	72.30%	3.210	0.360
Appraisal of own aptitude and skills (being more suitable				
for psychiatry)	85.90%	100.00%	6.084	0.108
An overlap between psychiatry, neuroscience and				
neurological medicine (makes psychiatry favourable				
speciality)	62.50%	72.20%	2.623	0.623
A desire to contribute to psychiatry (e.g. via therapeutic				
interventions or involvement in research) as mental				
health has not been given due attention	79.70%	61.10%	4.669	0.458
A (unique) person-centred approach focussing on the				
patient as a person in psychiatry	95.40%	88.90%	4.176	0.383
You developed an interest in psychiatry after having a				
good experience of assessment techniques and				
therapeutic models used in this speciality (in your				
previous training/ work, e.g. medical school placement,				
A&E placement or foundation year rotation in				
psychiatry)	68.80%	55.60%	5.772	0.329
The psychiatric practice utilises bio-psycho-social model				
focusing on a holistic approach to determine the				
biological, psychological and social factors in disease				
causation and care planning	93.80%	77.80%	6.497	0.090



Table 28: Evaluation based on Training Stage/ Grade

	Importa	ant (%)		
	Higher		-	
	Psychiatry	Core Psychiatry	Chi-	
	Training	Training	Square	p-value
The opportunity of a long-term therapeutic				
relationship with patients in psychiatric				
practice	94.60%	89.10%	2.861	0.581
Personal and innate interest in psychiatry and				
psychiatric disorders	81.10%	82.60%	1.006	0.800
Appraisal of own aptitude and skills (being				
more suitable for psychiatry)	89.10%	89.20%	2.369	0.499
An overlap between psychiatry,				
neuroscience and neurological medicine				
(makes psychiatry favourable speciality)	70.20%	58.70%	3.098	0.542
A desire to contribute to psychiatry (e.g. via				
therapeutic interventions or involvement in				
research) as mental health has not been given				
due attention	72.90%	78.30%	9.197	0.101
A (unique) person-centred approach				
focussing on the patient as a person in				
psychiatry	94.60%	93.40%	3.752	0.441
You developed an interest in psychiatry after				
having a good experience of assessment				
techniques and therapeutic models used in				
this speciality (in your previous training/				
work, e.g. medical school placement, A&E				
placement or foundation year rotation in				
psychiatry)	70.20%	60.90%	2.251	0.814
The psychiatric practice utilises bio-psycho-				
social model focusing on a holistic approach				
to determine the biological, psychological	97.30%	84.80%	3.761	0.288



and social factors in disease causation and care planning

Evaluation of Rewarding Factors

In terms of rewarding factors, the stance of male respondents is found to be similar to those females possessed (Table 29). Besides, the notion of MRCPsych members did not differ in terms of the significance of rewarding factors from the non-members (Table 30). The results concerning psychiatry rotation and training stage are also similar (Table 31 and Table 31). This has been inferred because none of the p-values is found to be below 5% (0.05).

Table 29: Evaluation based on Gender

	Important (%)			
			Chi-	
	Male	Female	Square	p-value
Assessment and care provision involves multidisciplinary				
teamwork in psychiatry	96.80%	86.30%	6.103	0.107
Psychiatry offers a sense of satisfaction and achievement				
when people with chronic mental health conditions report				
improvement or recovery	41.90%	53.00%	2.009	0.571
The utilisation of evidence-based approaches regarding				
treatment and care in psychiatry	67.70%	70.50%	1.922	0.750
Absence of regular onsite on-call work as higher trainee or				
consultant in psychiatry	67.70%	70.60%	7.642	0.177
On-call work as a psychiatry trainee or psychiatry				
consultant is easier to handle than in other medical or				
surgical specialities	54.90%	56.90%	3.520	0.620
Psychiatry is a financially rewarding speciality	12.90%	23.60%	4.563	0.471
Day to day work in psychiatry is considerably less				
demanding (e.g. workload pressure) than in certain other				
medical or surgical specialities	48.40%	43.10%	7.743	0.171



Good work-life balance in psychiatry	42.00%	58.90%	7.410	0.116
Less emergency work and an absence of complex				
procedural work in psychiatry	58.00%	68.70%	11.088	0.050

Table 30: Evaluation based on MRCPsych

_	Importa	ınt (%)		
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
Assessment and care provision involves				
multidisciplinary teamwork in psychiatry	94.20%	83.90%	4.365	0.225
Psychiatry offers a sense of satisfaction and				
achievement when people with chronic mental				
health conditions report improvement or recovery	42.30%	58.00%	4.083	0.253
The utilisation of evidence-based approaches				
regarding treatment and care in psychiatry	67.30%	74.20%	1.716	0.788
Absence of regular onsite on-call work as higher				
trainee or consultant in psychiatry	71.10%	67.70%	4.270	0.511
On-call work as a psychiatry trainee or psychiatry				
consultant is easier to handle than in other medical				
or surgical specialities	55.80%	58.00%	7.168	0.208
Psychiatry is a financially rewarding speciality	19.20%	22.60%	4.134	0.530
Day to day work in psychiatry is considerably less				
demanding (e.g. workload pressure) than in certain				
other medical or surgical specialities	44.20%	48.40%	4.068	0.540
Good work-life balance in psychiatry	51.90%	51.70%	0.962	0.916
Less emergency work and an absence of complex				
procedural work in psychiatry	57.70%	74.20%	3.421	0.635

Table 31: Evaluation based on Psychiatry Rotation

	Importar	Important (%)		
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
Assessment and care provision involves				
multidisciplinary teamwork in psychiatry	90.60%	88.90%	1.960	0.581
Psychiatry offers a sense of satisfaction and				
achievement when people with chronic mental health				
conditions report improvement or recovery	46.90%	50.00%	0.972	0.808
The utilisation of evidence-based approaches regarding				
treatment and care in psychiatry	67.20%	77.80%	2.329	0.675
Absence of regular onsite on-call work as higher				
trainee or consultant in psychiatry	67.20%	77.70%	4.076	0.539
On-call work as a psychiatry trainee or psychiatry				
consultant is easier to handle than in other medical or				
surgical specialities	60.90%	44.50%	5.204	0.391
Psychiatry is a financially rewarding speciality	23.40%	11.20%	11.904	0.036
Day to day work in psychiatry is considerably less				
demanding (e.g. workload pressure) than in certain				
other medical or surgical specialities	43.80%	55.50%	3.187	0.671
Good work-life balance in psychiatry	46.90%	72.20%	9.388	0.052
Less emergency work and an absence of complex				
procedural work in psychiatry	64.10%	61.10%	6.555	0.256



Table 32: Evaluation based on Training Stage/ Grade

	Important (%)			
	Higher	Core	-	
	Psychiatry	Psychiatry	Chi-	
	Training	Training	Square	p-value
Assessment and care provision involves				
multidisciplinary teamwork in psychiatry	100.00%	82.70%	7.493	0.058
Psychiatry offers a sense of satisfaction and				
achievement when people with chronic mental				
health conditions report improvement or recovery	43.20%	52.10%	3.007	0.391
The utilisation of evidence-based approaches				
regarding treatment and care in psychiatry	70.20%	69.60%	1.437	0.838
Absence of regular onsite on-call work as higher				
trainee or consultant in psychiatry	70.20%	69.60%	7.988	0.157
On-call work as a psychiatry trainee or psychiatry				
consultant is easier to handle than in other medical				
or surgical specialities	56.70%	56.50%	11.240	0.047
Psychiatry is a financially rewarding speciality	16.20%	23.90%	7.320	0.198
Day to day work in psychiatry is considerably less				
demanding (e.g. workload pressure) than in certain				
other medical or surgical specialities	35.10%	54.40%	4.963	0.420
Good work-life balance in psychiatry	51.30%	52.10%	1.460	0.834
Less emergency work and an absence of complex				
procedural work in psychiatry	51.30%	73.90%	6.184	0.289

Evaluation of Societal Factors

According to societal factors, the perception of male respondents is found to be similar to those females possessed (Table 33). In addition, the notion of MRCPsych members did not differ in the context of the importance of societal factors from the non-members (Table 34). The results concerning psychiatry rotation and training stage are also similar (Table 35 and Table 36). This inference has been drawn because none of the p-values is found to be below 5% (0.05).



Table 33: Evaluation based on Gender

	Importa	nt (%)		
	Male	Female	Chi-Square	p-value
Psychiatry is a medical speciality of high public				
repute	74.20%	66.70%	4.996	0.288
Opportunities to work abroad after the completion				
of psychiatry training	29.00%	17.60%	13.215	0.021
Influence of media, such as a film, TV programme,				
or a book (on your decision to choose psychiatry)	19.40%	9.80%	10.711	0.057
Psychiatry involves an interest in people and their				
unique life stories	90.40%	98.00%	6.461	0.167

Table 34: Evaluation based on MRCPsych

	Importa	ant (%)		
	Yes	No	Chi-Square	p-value
Psychiatry is a medical speciality of high public repute	73.00%	64.50%	2.577	0.631
Opportunities to work abroad after the completion of				
psychiatry training	15.30%	35.50%	8.545	0.129
Influence of media, such as a film, TV programme, or a				
book (on your decision to choose psychiatry)	7.70%	22.60%	6.199	0.287
Psychiatry involves an interest in people and their unique				
life stories	96.20%	90.40%	4.247	0.374

Table 35: Evaluation based on Psychiatry Rotation

	Import	ant (%)		
	-		Chi-	
	Yes	No	Square	p-value
Psychiatry is a medical speciality of high public repute	71.90%	66.70%	7.383	0.117
Opportunities to work abroad after the completion of				
psychiatry training	23.50%	22.20%	5.506	0.357
Influence of media, such as a film, TV programme, or a				
book (on your decision to choose psychiatry)	14.10%	11.20%	13.660	0.018
Psychiatry involves an interest in people and their				
unique life stories	93.80%	94.40%	1.211	0.876

Table 36: Evaluation based on Training Stage/ Grade

	Important (%)			
	Higher	Core	-	
	Psychiatry	Psychiatry		
	Training	Training	Chi-Square	p-value
Psychiatry is a medical speciality of high public				
repute	75.60%	65.30%	5.568	0.234
Opportunities to work abroad after the completion				
of psychiatry training	8.10%	34.80%	9.985	0.078
Influence of media, such as a film, TV				
programme, or a book (on your decision to choose				
psychiatry)	8.10%	17.40%	3.409	0.637
Psychiatry involves an interest in people and their				
unique life stories	94.60%	93.40%	2.639	0.620

References

Leech, N.L., Barrett, K.C. and Morgan, G.A., 2014. *IBM SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use and interpretation*. Routledge.

